

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tridemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,563 09/30/2003		Paul Phillip Ratmansky	Ratmansky 002 7897		
38288	7590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT J. YARBROUGH, ATTORNEY AT LAW 201 NORTH JACKSON STREET			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN		
MEDIA, PA		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611	· <u></u>	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
. 1			10/676,563		AL.			
Υ	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•	•	Gary C Ho	ge	3611				
Dowland for	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ion.  s, a reply within the statt period will apply and wire statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-3,7-14 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3,7-14 and 17-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	iments have bee iments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s)  Dee of References Cited (PTO-892)  Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/8	·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species III in the reply filed on January 5, 2005 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 depends from claim 15, which has been cancelled. Therefore, it is impossible to examine claims 18-21 on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,563 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

6. Claims 1, 12-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lekavich.

Lekavich discloses a medallion 16 having indicia (see column 4, lines 32-34), a puck (Fig. 2), and means 118, 120 for releasably engaging the medallion.

Regarding claim 17, as the medallion is screwed into position, it will always contact the bottom of the cavity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lekavich.

Lekavich discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, it is not known whether the indicia are placed upon the display surface by embossing.

Art Unit: 3611

Nevertheless, embossing is a well-known technique for placing indicia on a display surface, and its use would have been an obvious matter of choice in design.

10. Claims 3 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lekavich as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Novinsky.

Lekavich discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the medallion is attached to the puck via a threaded connection. Novinsky teaches that it was known in the art to attach a medallion to a puck-like device via a peripheral frictional engagement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the medallion disclosed by Lekavich to the puck via a peripheral frictional engagement, as taught by Novinsky, in order to obviate the need for manufacturing a threaded connection.

Regarding claim 10, Lekavich discloses the use of interchangeable indicia for the medallion. One such indicia could be called primary, and the other secondary.

Regarding claim 11, a human hand holding the puck in order to show it to someone else comprises means for displaying the puck.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C Hoge whose telephone number is (703) 308-3422. After April 5, 2005, the examiner's telephone number will be (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch